487740



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION VIII

999 18th STREET - SUITE 500 DENVER, COLORADO 80202-2466

MAY 2 | 1998

Ref: 8EPR-PS

Mr. Brad Johnson Division of Environmental Response and Remediation 168 North 1950 West 1st Floor Salt Lake City, UT 84116

Dear Brad:

The Remedial Project Managers (RPMs) and Site Assessment Manager (SAM) for the following sites have reviewed and approved the First Quarter Progress Reports for 1996:

Kennecott
Murray Smelter
Richardson Flats (Remedial)
Portland Cement RD
Portland Cement RA
Portland Cement OU3 RI/FS
Sandy Smelter
Sharon Steel OU1 In-Kind
Sharon Steel OU2
UP&L RD/RA
UP&L RA

Approval with Comments were given on the following sites by the RPM or SAM:

Midvale Slag OU1 (UDEO Lead) Strieby

The task report format is more informative than previous reports which generally consisted of some activity bullets. Under Task 6, it would be helpful to give a general estimate on the costs associated with future plans for the undeveloped Winchester Estates and the need for remedial action on any of the additional lots along 700 West. Does UDEQ have any updated projections on the additional RD cost in relation to previously awarded CA for RD and the additional funds approved for use in the balance of the OU1 RI/FS account (approximately \$69K)?

Midvale Slag OU2 Strieby

There is some confusion on this account. Table 5 is labeled Midvale Slag RI/FS and references Midvale Slag Support Agency. Is this the same \$66K RI/FS monies which UDEQ requested to be used for OU1 to complete RD activities? (Status--Roy Baran and Linda Armer have discussed this question as of 5/96. The RPM would like to have a report showing the funds that have been designated for OU1 and for OU2.)



PA/SI (Chavez)

Site Assessment Manager (SAM) questions the "Budget Remaining" amount of \$780,042. The SAM would like to know what the plans are for this amount of money and whether or not we need to fund the PA/SI portion of the FY97 block grant with this \$780,042 remaining. (Roy Baran and Linda Armer have also discussed and hopefully resolved this question as of May 1996.)

<u>Petrochem</u> (Hooten)

RPM would like to have a further explanation of what the fringe benefits are and what is being spent under the item "supplies." The costs are within the budget and the activities listed are activities the RPM is aware of. (Reference to Table 11--should read Table 10).

Portland Cement 2 & 3 Community Relations (McCeney)

RPM would like to close this cooperative agreement immediately. Please forward a Financial Status Report (FSR).

CORE (Armer)

The Budget for FY96 has \$58,000 in the "Other" object class. From reading the activities during the first quarter, I was not able to tie the \$16,575 expended in November 1995 to an activity(ies). What is projected for the \$3,382 under contractual?

Please indicate the cooperative agreement number on the site-specific agreements as there is more than one cooperative agreement for some sites.

Please respond to the comments made by the RPMs. If you have any site-specific questions, please contact the Remedial Project Manager for that site. Other questions may be directed to me at (303) 312-6696.

Sincerely.

Linda Armer, State Coordinator

Program Support Group

cc: Bev Goodsell Robin Coursen Bert Garcia



Michael O. Leavitt Governor Dianne R. Nielson, Ph.D. Executive Director Kent P. Gray

State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE AND REMEDIATION

168 North 1950 West P.O. Box 144840 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-4840 (801) 536-4100 Voice (801) 359-8853 Fax (801) 536-4414 T.D.D.

ERRC-056-96

January 31, 1996

Alfred Vigil U. S. EPA, Region VIII Suite 500 999 18th Street Denver, Colorado 80202-2405

Re:

First Quarter FY'96

Dear Mr. Vigil:

Enclosed are Quarterly Reports for the First Quarter of FY'96 for our various Cooperative Agreements.

If you have any questions, please call Brad Johnson at (801) 536-4156.

Sincerely,

Kent P. Gray, Director

Division of Environmental Response and Remediation

KPG/MJS/ss

Enclosure(s)

cc: Carol Campbell (with enclosure)

RECENFO/GAC BRANCE FEB 2 1996



Portland Cement OU3 RI/FS

- 1. UDEQ's contractor, Weston, finalized the Streamline Human Health Risk Evaluation on December 22, 1995.
- 2. The Second Draft of the Focused Feasibility Study (FFS) for OU3 was completed by Weston on December 22, 1995. Various drafts were reviewed and modified during this period.
- 3. Weston continued to work on the Remedial Investigation Report and will finalize it by the first week of January 1996.
- 4. UDEQ began work on the Proposed Plan during the month of December 1995.

Attachment:

Table 5 - Budget and Expense Report for Portland Cement RI/FS.

G. Midvale Slag OU2 (Support Agency)

- 1. UDEQ attended the RD scoping meeting held in Denver on December 5, 1995. EPA's contractor, Sverdrup, presented an RD Work Plan Outline for review and discussions were held on each task of the workplan.
- 2. UDEQ has participated in several meetings with EPA on settlement issues, OU2 removal alternatives and future land development on OU1 and OU2 (e.g., Jordan River Boulevard Road Project).
- 3. UDEQ drafted the Community Relations Plan (CRP) for the site and sent it to EPA December 11, 1995, for review and comments.

Attachment:

Table 6 - Budget and Expense Report for Midvale Slag.

H. Richardson Flat (Remedial)

1. No work was performed during October-December 1995 and no activity is planned for FY 96 second quarter. All future action at the site is currently on hold until the issue of proposed listing is decided.

TABLE 6

MULTIDSITE 2TGB08LP94	Budget FY96	Oct-95	Nov-95	Dec-95	1st Quarter		YTD Total		Budget Remaining
Personnel	\$4,133	o	0	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$4,13
Fringe Benefits	\$868	0	0	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$86
Travel	\$52	0	0	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$50
Equipment	\$0	0	0	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$4
Supplies	\$30	1	0	0	\$	1	\$	1	\$25
DP Supplies/Equip	\$0	0	0	0	\$	-	\$		\$0
Contractual	\$49	0	0	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$4
Construction	\$0	o	0	0	\$		\$	-	\$
Other	\$423	0	0	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$42
TOTAL DIRECT:	\$ 5,555	1	0	0	\$	1	\$	1	\$ 5,55
Indirect Allocations	\$ 718	0	0	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$71
TOTAL:	\$ 6,273	1	o	0	\$	1	\$	1	\$ 6,27
Ċ	UMULATIVE:	1	1	1		1			

TABLE 7

MULTISITE 8TFA08LL94	Budget FY96	Oct-95	Nov-95	Dec-95	1st Quarter		YTD Total		Budget Remaining
Personnei	\$107,446	o	0	0	\$	•	\$		\$107,44
Fringe Benefits	\$34,709	0	0	0	\$	-	\$		\$34,70
Travel	\$2,585	0	0	0	\$	-	\$	•	\$2,58
Equipment	\$210	0	0	0	\$	-	\$		\$210
Supplies	\$600	1	0	0	\$	1	\$	1	\$59
DP Supplies/Equip	\$0	0	0	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$4
Contractual	\$77,243	0	0	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$77,24
Construction	\$0	0	0	0	\$	-	\$		\$4
Other	\$367	0	0	0	\$	-	\$		\$36
TOTAL DIRECT:	\$ 223,160	1	0	0	\$	1	\$	1	\$ 223,15
Indirect Allocations	\$ 13,353	0	0	0	\$	•	\$	-	\$13,35
TOTAL:	\$ 236,513	1	0	0	\$	1	\$	1	\$ 236,51
Ċ	UMULATIVE:	1	1	1	1	1			

TABLE 8

MULTISITE 4TGB08LPB4	Budget FY96	Oct-95	Nov-95	Dec-95	1st Quarter		YTD Total		Budget Remaining
Personnel	\$16,941	75	122	82	\$	279	\$	279	\$16,662
Fringe Benefits	\$4,303	24	80	75	\$	179	\$	179	\$4,124
Travel	\$800	0	0	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$800
Equipment	\$0	0	0	0	\$	-	\$		\$(
Supplies	\$1,018	44	2	4	\$	50	\$	50	\$968
DP Supplies/Equip	\$0	0	0	0	\$	-	\$	•	\$0
Contractual	\$12,839	0	0	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$12,839
Construction	\$0	0	0	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$6
Other	\$2,490	2	0	0	\$	2	\$	2	\$2,48
TOTAL DIRECT:	\$ 38,391	145	204	161	\$	510	\$	510	\$ 37,88
Indirect Allocations	\$ 2,600	15	30	23	\$	68	\$	68	\$2,53
TOTAL:	\$ 40,991	160	234	184	49	578	\$	578	\$ 40,41
CUMULATIVE:		160	394	578		578	$\overline{}$		